

Paul Slovarp JOURNEYMAN ELECTRICAL EXAM REVIEW (ELPT2301 51231S)

## BC Survey - Results 2023 Fall (16-Week - 231S), JOURNEYMAN ELECTRICAL

Dear Instructor,

Below are the 2023 Fall (16-Week - 231S) survey results for "JOURNEYMAN ELECTRICAL EXAM REVIEW (ELPT2301 51231S)".

The overall indicator is listed first.

The second part of the analysis lists the average values of all individual questions.

Comments are listed at the report's end.

If you have any further questions, please reach out to a member of PIER.

Best regards,

Robert Wood Assistant Research Analyst Brazosport College 500 College Drive Lake Jackson, TX 77566 (979)-230-3189 robert.wood@brazosport.edu Paul Slovarp 2023 Fall (16-Week - 231S) JOURNEYMAN ELECTRICAL EXAM REVIEW (ELPT2301 51231S) No. of responses = 8



## Survey Results 3. Level of Agreeance: Instructions for course activities and assignments n=8 av.=5 strongly disagree strongly agree were clear. md=550 dev.=0 5 The workload in this course was well distributed n=8 strongly disagree strongly agree av.=5 md=5 throughout the term. 50 dev.=0 5 The course was delivered as outlined in the syllabus. n=8 strongly disagree strongly agree av.=5 md=5 50 dev.=0 Assessments/exams were based on material n=7 strongly disagree strongly agree covered in assignments, readings, lectures, videos, av.=5 md=5 group activities, etc. 50 dev.=0The instructor made learning relevant to my field of n=8 strongly disagree strongly agree av.=5 md=5 study, career, or degree. dev.=0 The instructor used a variety of instructional n=8 av.=4.6 strongly disagree strongly agree methods (examples: group discussions, student md=5 presentations, student activities, lab activities, online dev.=0.7 discussions, video assignments, case studies, etc.) The instructor provided timely feedback on my n=8 strongly disagree strongly agree av.=4.9 assignments. md=550 dev.=0.4 The instructor exhibited professional behavior, n=8 strongly disagree strongly agree treated students respectfully, and used appropriate av.=5 md=5 language during class. dev.=0

3.9)	The instructor provided grades within the stated timeframe.	strongly disagree	50	0%	0%	0%	0%	5	strongly agree	n=8 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
3.10)	The instructor was accessible to answer questions and explain material outside of class according to office hours.	strongly disagree	50	0%	0%	0% 3	25%	75%	strongly agree	n=8 av.=4.8 md=5 dev.=0.5
4.	Overall Satisfaction With This Course:									
4.1)	Please rate your overall satisfaction with the learning experience in this course.	Very Dissatisfied	50	0%	0%	0%	12%	88%	Very Satisfied	n=8 av.=4.9 md=5 dev.=0.4
5.	Course Recommendation:									
5.1)	How likely are you to recommend this course with this instructor to a friend?	Very Unlikely	50	0%	0%	0%	12%	88%	Very Likely	n=8 av.=4.9 md=5 dev.=0.4
7.	Student Demographics:									
7.1)	How many credits have you completed toward your deg	uroo or cortific	oto?							
				<b>)</b>					40.50/	n=8
		ompleted credits		J	$\overline{}$				12.5% 37.5%	
		completed credits	$\subseteq$		$\exists$				37.5%	
		ompleted credits	$\subseteq$	)					12.5%	
7.2)	This course is instructed as:									
	a face-to-face course						100%	n=8		
	a hybrid/blended course (a combination of face-to-face and online instruction)							0%		
	an online synchronous (students are required to participate online at a specific time)								0%	
	an online asynchronous (students view course mate	rials at any time)							0%	
7.3)	This term I am currently enrolled in:									
	5 or	less credit hours		)					12.5%	n=8
	6 - 8 credit hours						50%			
	9	- 11 credit hours							37.5%	
	12 or n	nore credit hours							0%	

7.4) My current major is:								
Bachelor of Applied Technology (BAT)	0%	n=8						
Associate of Arts or Multi-Disciplinary Degree (AA)	0%							
Associate of Arts in Teaching (AAT)	0%							
Associate of Science (AS)	0%							
Associate of Applied Science (AAS) in a technical area	100%							
Certificate in a technical area	0%							
7.5) I am currently a high school student taking college courses.								
Yes	0%	n=8						
No	100%							

## Comments Report

## 6. Open Response:

- <sup>6.1)</sup> What are the strengths of this course?
- Clear, concise instruction. Thorough and professional instructor. He makes the course fun
- It prepares me and what to expect when I take the Journeymen exam and how to properly use the National Electrical Code book.
- Simple and easy to understand, presents info on how the electrical trade really works.
- any question we ask will get answered in a well explained manner that helps us understand the NEC code book better.
- hands on leaning with real world examples
- very descriptive for exam prep
- 6.2) What changes would you recommend that would improve this course?
- N/A
- more time in the labs for hands on experience
- none (2 Counts)
- <sup>6.3)</sup> Any further, constructive comments?
- N/A
- no
- none
- teacher is really good at their job and very knowledgable